

1-07

Hyp: In conjunction with attack on Iran  
& Israel?

by US, after Israeli attack on  
Iran, brooking Iranian attack on US ships?!

2-12-07 Tuesday

Then — now

This for moral courage: risk-taking; strategic action  
For what would you risk your life?

Crisis — before Nov 2008 / Jan 09 your career?

(e.g. soldiers in Iraq) CLEARANCE Congress/  
Expo

— the ranks — split most decisions;

rest of us — of our — the bodies, genitals

— orders

(we) went not fail to To restore it

— torture

do enough we can — NV,

your maniac?

truthfully (truth-telling)

children's education

risk-taking — to keep

(Bush) — won't risk

THIS PRES, VP, THIS ADMIN

royalties

FROM — DOING WRT IRAN

(Has he told Congress — in

WHAT HE DID WRT IRAQ,

closed session?

LAST 2001, 02, 03, 04,

Intell Committee?

05, 06,

Rockefeller?

— not big collateral damage

(Risk pros — for torturing, in ticky-tacky situations)

Dear Stop War:

Congress

House

UK — Labor — Blair

Church (vs. Bin Laden)

Church

(vs. Iraq; from no threat)

NATO

WBs

Impress (Chen)

What will / not you do to avert their attack?

[Problem: to rule out attack, even oppose it,

[ "in next 5-10 years: no crisis"]  
without "underlying negot - i.e. l

(what makes it a crisis?

"Bush & Ching are resolute enough,  
bold enough

to carry out an insecur, criminal move

[ "Have not to deal with proliferation"

[Bush: public is prob. to beat guys —  
this is the way."

Ash Carter, Perry (Clinton - Gore)

X

[How snuck out — make public — internal  
opposition by Gates + Rice (= Kasich + Rogers!)

Woolly? Poer?

How get VCS to testify?

CFR

(GELB) (Haas! Winston Lord!)

Koppel?

[What if Ching had been killed in Kabul?]

|| What worked with NR is much more  
troubling with Iran,

Non-existence of went <sup>program</sup> ~~protection~~ can be  
demonstrated — give injunction, resuscitate

Drug inspection did work.

2-18-7

Will Dens challenge trial or from?

Charge of genocide

Monday  
Hyp: 2-19-07 5:30 PM

then attack as a provocation (initially (non-rec))  
to desire response

justifying COG

(strategy of "desire":)

Fear will comes after an attack!

(Adm justo on desire!)

(initial attack might be "surgical")

2-22-7

Santos (you can consult for outside)

The present is simply lying

"We are not planning

("do not intend...")

Possible opponents:

for Rockhoff

out of frag Cool?

Webb

segments of de Fazio

Forre?

forms bills

Conrad Carter

Go to:

ECK?

forms

Edwards?

de Fazio (Peter?)

Lee?

Woolsey?

More On?

Kingman, Dant, Herbert, Knutig

NYT ad?

VIPS

UK?

"WBs" — Odom, Wilson, Pillar, Claude

~

generals

Gen. Karadim

UPJ?

Hiraldi

Drug Study Group: Baker

LEAK NIE

2-22-07

will an attack on Iran

make America safe? OR

save American lives (in Iraq) OR...

Realty 2-22-07

Edwards: greatest mind that is Israeli attack  
on Iran

Pelletier re. attack: Move On; Wesley Clark / WAFW,  
Peace Action

Hillary 2-14: Must have Con. auth.  
(if not - Impound?!)

London Times 2-23-07

Seniors ante to Gates: might impound if attack.

Opposal of Gates (!), Rice (!) (See Df/Seabolt  
Hobby?) = Nixon, VN!

backed of Cheney (+ Bush)

(see N/NAE (+

2-22-07

Blair opposes

Fengold for cutty funds

Ergy

Bob Gates (coll)

Pillar

[Re-activate Congress!]

Solomon - that Perry to remit others!

Bevin: Conot crisis over Iran

Webb

Stegel

Risch

(Hornet, Pro need for  
a TG incident)

(see Webb on  
potential in Persian Gulf)

A PERSIAN GULF INCIDENT, is an near future?

(1st attack provoked (34A-type action underway!))

2d "attack" - "mis-interpreted"

(see shooting of Iranian airlines)

C-mail

2-24-07

"Problem of Dem candidates on Show/ Mail

PDA

Kucinich

Wes Clark

Gravel

Friggstad

2-25-

W&K/R (Rusk) always saw prob as  
inevitable

(given US/NWS position + use:)

Were they wrong?

Rooted to adapt to this?

----- Feb 10

Paul Guy Roberts: We're looking for Poland (after  
Czechoslovakia, Prague) without being a post-  
Reichstag Free police state

Want a Treaty Act

(signing statements

= for of crime  
+ war

Reasons for refusing for anything unless  
by improving all other conditions, if by  
were faithful to the motto [or officials]  
B+C (+R) would have been implemented.

Roberts: Ult. + committed  
to B+C - if attack or law - implement

[Coy. has no legal priority, stronger  
obligation, responsibility, than to  
invade

remove B+C

remove means: return first

ult. or  
imperial { stop war in Iraq (else?)  
and attack on Iran.

----- Feb 3

Perry: Should Bush be behind this treaty,  
which he says he wants a step forward  
in Iran. (Or that threat of an Iran bomb is  
next 2 years - even Bush - is doing (dumb  
what US policy now??

was as "last  
resort"

in 2001-03:

2-28-07

or - ever now, when we - became later (+ wait for the market, or benefits):

Sales pitch for ever produced by WH  
consisted of press

<sup>Pro-</sup>  
(was propaganda  
jingoism)

An ad/PR campaign is unwarranted  
(“It’s not August”)

Promises 1) USG chose - policy as alternative  
is “off the table”  
irrational

my br time - unwarranted/incredible (politically)  
("less desirable, on all"  
- the way  
prob  
we were?!

2) Now you have me (+ Bush's)  
irrational

data, not.

(right/prob. would not go to  
war at all.)

Would Gore, King, Clinton have gone to  
war on Bush? or Bush I? Dole?  
(Kleinman - yes; Israeli option on Clinton/Gore?)

(If Bush I were running against  
Bush II, I'd work as hard as for King or Gore)

“NEGOT” BY THREAT

means legit, b) Talk of “all options” (uses; aggression)  
means for, laws  
but threatened for  
consequences at hand.  
- means anti-prob; is pro-prob (+ pro-use)  
- is an attack on int. law

NR is using  
its weapons  
already:  
(to the good!)

defines Wayne State  
(no hand  
is one document on the  
table for hand?)

So - should have got uses?  
(Wolfe)

Non-aggression pact? Settlement?

2-25-07

Stop the war

Resignation is not enough — before or after attack.

and  
Amer. looks without does — not enough.

Closed testing to Arab Com. — not enough.

Arab allies?

open testing?

Advice to producers

Does to anti-attack Congresspersons

Person on VCS to — oppose formally  
— testify to Congress

DOCS

Warnings of Persian Gulf friends (Res.)

Killed few oppoers: dictators

Frank attack Shrub — Levin? Stabenow?

Campaign contributors?

Alan Gray? (Pelosi  
Obama)

but Congress loose!  
Edwards

Cong: Focus on Iran

not Kucinich, DeFazio, co-sponsors behind her bill

Other? warning of impeachment (51<sup>?</sup>)

Can a Rep be suited, to neutralize him?!!

Lebanon factor? Can he half control that issue?  
So his threat without ties to him?!

So generals used to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best  
to stop it  
truthfully & NV  
expose it  
oppose it openly

There are agents/motives w/ not to do this

But PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD  
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis as defined by: <sup>demands</sup> willingness to sacrifice careers,  
we need (Profils in) Concise <sup>of life</sup>

This can't wait till 2009:

(or, election year, 2008)

see  
moral  
Byrd  
(23 J. Brog  
133

[ Could Israel be presented:

2. to accept Holocaust - like Klammer!
1. to clarify/withdraw of threat to Israel
3. Obama factors against us: <sup>distress</sup>

[ Hyp: tonight "rants of subsidy" from Ann to Jerry  
(Kerry) is to prevent American support to  
Shim Elbit (or Lani!) after US attack  
to Sarantli

(cf. Annas "ethical dilemmas" - wrt personal sacrifice

To generals used to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best  
to stop it  
truthfully & NV  
expose it  
oppose it openly

These are arguments/motives why not to do this

But PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD  
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis as defined by: <sup>demands</sup> willingness to sacrifice careers,  
we need (Profils in) Courage <sup>of life</sup>

This can't wait till 2009!

(or, election year, 2008)

see  
moral  
Byrd  
(23 v. Iraq  
133

[ Can't let Israel be punished:

2. to accept Holocaust - like Klammmer!
1. to clarify/withdraw of threat to Israel
3. Obama factors against us: <sup>distress</sup>

[ Hypo: tonight "rants of subsidy" from Ann to Iraq  
(Kerry) is to prevent Brown support to  
Iran offer (or Lanni!) <sup>distress</sup> after US attack  
on Iran

(cf. Howard's "ethical dilemmas" - w/ forward sacrifice

2-25-07

Walter Lomelis says: We can't attack after  
enrichment has proceeded in mass,  
because of radioactive?

What does it take to prevent an ME +  
nuc proof on rock? <sup>inv. from (Troyan)</sup>  
without an attack on Iran  
Art. VI (stop violating it)

BT  
Reg

	Sunday:	JAMAIC	(so far 3-17?)
Cahn	folders	Kang	
<u>Cabosso</u>		\$1m/ formula	Monday:
(CPDS)	Common Drives	borders	Kibby
	Salon		UN Sec. Council
	Heesh	UK Papers	
(Hoffman)	NYT		Ritter
Markman	truthout	Am. Coll	Rosen
Kijsen	Parry		Everett
	morning shows		farmerl
	AC/L	Sam Smith	Hole Korte
	Customs		Pawela

2-26-07

(Hence) As us provoking semi-Syria  
split - in Iraq

in region, would - to isolate them  
weaken - cover region does  
spills off Khayyastan?

and trying to provoke them  
- to attack us

and/or - to attack Syria

They get full support in Congress  
(and CZ (Clinton government) for long, all-  
out war

Syria  
Lebanon

What if: Isr. wages w/ Pak?

War progresses in Gulf, Sandis, Egypt?

After attack (esp. we attack) a war -

? Russia + China - want them  
- neutralize them  
- help with war progress  
- GIVE NGOs

(now? to front  
attack? :

2-25-07

by:

- How sure is he Israel went intiate the war — or "think" to, "form" US position

(as if we can't stop them?)

CAN we? Can Sayers / on air?

"What would an Israeli attack mean for US air to Israel?"

Any answer?

Policy of Mubiki gave an use of Any answer?

or bomb is Any?

Relations with US give — warning?

Ask Murt: Even gave a US I-t power  
Klame in bomb is Any:

What of Any?

Does US expire direct attack on Any?

Control it remove from bank?

Israel?

or "want" that be prohibited?

(as. China)

2-26-7

What is danger to US (interests?)  
of a nuclear war?

How will it affect its (increased)  
security/influence?

to what ends, effect?

How would it threaten friends?

(greater support to Nigbella (so?)  
and Hamas?)

What about our friends?

Egypt?

Should HAG/Rws/ReN? 68) / N vision  
of inevitable conflict be accepted  
or not?

(Practices were against all <sup>potential</sup> hostiles  
(except Russia & China!) |  
Pak?

(Argentina?

Brazil?

UK?

Turkey?

†

Can "hostiles" be practiced when/if anyone  
else has them?

Will Iran be tipping point?

(So, was not  
or, India / Pak)

(Who and Iran "hostile")?

Can't Khamenei STEP BACK? (NK)

Admiring talk of Holocaust + "irony"  
that (a refugee for his country  
to count definitely)

use, recogn. Israel's right to  
exist or existing V (Hamas)

(WJ) does this support (Sunni) Hamas?

Can it stop? (2003 offer)

accept/recogn. Israel in '67 borders  
(vs. Hamas) (fbw)

Constit. Rhamwi assert his (actual)  
central of foreign/Int'l policy:  
depose or strengthen out  
Admat. ?!

Can't show bid without "one option"  
in S-T. ?

2-28-07

Iran should not (be allowed?) get nuclear weapons. (Ritter: throw out inspectors)

How prevent this?

us. Ritter Not by attack.

2) bilateral negotiations (in NK?)

(b) De-legitimize (if not, "criminalize") nukes; global prohibition on profif. and retention of nukes —

(do we leave NK with nukes?)

Pak? Russia

steady, fast, unipolar world towards  
0!

Each use immediately: nuke, us. from  
USA

(If thugs don't and soon, without  
war, game against profif is hopeless,  
is short-run.

(Yes, if Iran gets nukes — in 3-8 years —  
war game against profif is hopeless;  
but attack speaks that day ...)

"when Iran gets nukes, or is attacked, profif  
is unstoppable" → which comes first

(+ I would say — if present USG threats/ver  
continue to be credibility — a year, a month...?)

Give up "counter-prolif" (Carter/Perry (1993  
Korea, + policy)  
Libya)

see Oslo — NOT a success.

2-28-07

Did Osius attack slow, or speed up,  
Iraq program?

Would SH have been as close to a bank  
as he was in 1990, without Osius?

see N am threat to India, 1971

"Should" Nasser have attended Geneva in 1967?!

World that have delayed Saudi bank as of 1973?

---

Trident program: how will it go?

(see FUD debate in Geneva: 1999?)

---

If we hold off attack on Iran (and this also, we attack)  
till Jan 08

we will buy still more time to reverse  
course

If we don't block attack under Bush,  
we will ("probably") "aew" reverse course  
(even if our imperial ambitions collapse  
economically — fall down — we won't  
demolish — or demote  
— see Russia!)

(at "best" we'll become  
like Russia today — or, a  
maverick and France/President/  
Brazil/Argentina/Chile/Peru/  
(Colombia) regime

Colossal: There are motives in addition to option  
for we weapons to have inc. evident caps.  
that apply to ~~Kenya~~<sup>\*</sup>, Japan<sup>†</sup>, Brazil<sup>‡</sup>, Netherlands  
and Peru<sup>5</sup>  
(and Argentina<sup>5</sup>, Australia<sup>5</sup>, South Africa<sup>5</sup>)

and is addition to the own energy needs  
(\* who also want we option!) (Kenya is "about and" one of  
and for option's improving plant) (there!) But not inc. more  
determined to exercise option  
than there.  
see list of reasons:

(What of India, Saudi, NK, Bd, NK? ~~and~~ but but  
they want (?) have real caps, see Peru<sup>5</sup>—  
not only for weapons!)

To Rethm: if goal is <sup>(and)</sup> regime change — law will attack  
do that?

To MHN + SH — is there any hit that such still  
believe this? If not...?

My Ans: also — provide own retal, which gives  
us: Expo dictatorship, detention  
and draft (apart from blacks, too?  
Confederacy: separation;  
and we testing racial  
profiling...)

A. (We will be in arms in frag in for 2009 (and long beyond)  
B. But we might not have attacked them. If we do:  
(after we invade Iraq + Syria: where else?)

using  
the arms?

Pakistan?

Does Russia fight back in Stone? Caspian...

(ADM  
(after Iran arms  
missiles.) BB

Colombia? Venezuela?

Did Israel have "the right" to establish a Jewish State in Pal., not expel Pal. and refuse to allow them to return?

(Did European settlers have "the right" to exterminate Indians and take the land?)


(Mexican War?)

Louisiana / Alaska Purchases: yes,

(+ - -)

Do revolutionaries have a "right" of armed resistance?

Terror? (I would say, NO - no one does)



2-28-07

To Herk's article being acted on immediately  
in Congress?

By other reporters?

In other nations? (poorer)



Hoodman int. 2-28-07

Pats: How get leverage on Herk/Ritter?

Priority: from

(immediate if ...) — need a law, a bold

assert

(stop court actions? 34A, Herk...)

(Which of these are intended to provide an  
attack on an ships? 34A-type?!

Mangrove?

Debtors' patrols?!

(not Wrong — dependent (yet))

MORE REPORTING

(fertil; sheer;

Parents;

outside their  
zone

— even strong

(Doesn't want a vote on defining war:

down the control vote?

or, leaders oppose, one of them could win?

(prob. bold: 1st sufficient)

— fit either a Ritter, Herk assert, as well as analysis  
(testing? lead to Congress?)

NIE? to Congress? (from ...?)

\* copied testing from Reproductive, on Herk  
statements on war from Bobbi Grop?

Krueger? that "HAK Grop" to expose IRAN?

(ad: Odom; Scowcroft; Gry;

2-28-07

- Bring out and support legal (of Cong action)  
of "from dooms" in Advis vs. Chen, Bush & Abrams:  
Gates (?) Rice (?) Hodel? (Powell?)  
Witkin, Pillar (misct?) Miltky: Ang/Moss

VTPs

[see Silence of the Dooms in VN  
and Ang]

Now?

Lesson of VN: 1964-65

67

Kort - Rogers!

NSC-5

Provocations:

Northwards  
Mongoose } do bats?  
34A }

Curve-I

Oct 1964 - JTM, WPB

(Reason)

done by a done

No, I don't think we did 9-11.

But we did

not kill kill, or just grate him:

Feb 1965

see me, in Feb 1965!

grate  
SH  
Ritter.  
Pat. People think, attack on him is a local  
issue, not take the stage:  
not that it's apocalyptic

(They did not stab. on US/Israel, any more  
than VCs and it cannot be occupied, even  
"hell VN or they" (or Thurida, Panama) (Nicaragua)

If SH is right

the they are planning to provoke an attack by them on OBL

as in Bent- & Cain U-2

1964-65...

WE NEED "DSM" NOW Clarke, etc. now

Clarke  
Spooner  
Scheuer?

- JFK - Oct 1 1962 deal not desired,  
as "planned, intended" to invade Cuba  
by Oct 20 - but wanted to have 24-hr  
option (be raw, at least)

(N real desired? on Nov 3, 62 - but  
backed off.)

From wants option

(not any be preparing itself!)

(Rubbings: much more dangerous to attack than  
drog (and that could have been much  
worse! CW, Baghdad... Sarand...)

as JCS wanted  
mobilization

If Admin wants not wants a draft - they want  
(retal.) attacks by them or AQ...

Wants BUT - demands of empire/oil don't  
control attack in them - an attack of  
Hats + Rins <sup>and they</sup> (down on VN  
drog...)

If other opponents are in - Dns, Rubbs -  
they mustn't be taking these risks

So there want to a draft as they as GB's want  
to mobilization?

Colossal/

to have 1 - small bomb

- How long does it take from the time IAEA inspectors are kicked out, until high enrichment begins?
- If Iran has bombs, it can arm up <sup>+ H bombs</sup> very quickly more,

without fear that if Israel respects an arms, or Iran respects an Israel (non-nuc) that Israel will attack with nukes (trumps, now) or support Arabs in doing more...

(Iran uses centralized tanks of FC, and of major non-nuc attacks  
(from US, Is, Pak?  
don't expect (?) relations with Saudis,  
Egypt ...)

If disputes can be settled, of negot with  
Iran, or Is/Pak, and Russia/China - Is....

---

Would NYT publish ST pieces - several  
as they are? (and with this content?)

Washington Post?

Why is he not on network TV?

Why say Boehner?

ST on John Stewart

Plan on PSR (sol)

What to do?

Analyse ( soll ... )

Cut-off more than  
impossible (?)

Carasco kept imports in from  
Kuwait

(not ...)

by an Malta law

Dow  
US public

3-3-7 Rather: To get what "we" (not Adm) want  
without making any concessions that the  
Adm won't give (in contrast to NK)

Get the changes in  
Bush negot. policy before  
Iran gets weapons, or  
before/without a war.

You need a threat of war.

You need to intend that a war would  
be (a) legit, and (b) necessary, and (c)  
better than either making concessions  
or "allowing" Iran to get ones (in 3-8 years)

Implicitly, Dow accepts agency.

Iran will be a threat to our troops in  
another country (Iraq) and to US, and to  
Israel (as Iraq never was) after we  
attack; it is not today, nor only in further  
before an attack (in with ones, 3-8 years  
from now; or not as much - to do - as after an attack).  
+ to civil

me

@ Campbell, 2/26

Kemp implies is Iran (while NK: as Iraq, 1998!)

Iran is a challenge to US legitimacy  
+ the oil-rich ME

(no GP - legitimacy isn't equally  
important)

See NR #70?

NHR 14 (Jones)

March 3

✓ 1. We cannot be an either agent of us or who we are using our weapons

as we are now, with exception of Congress

(Has Congress ever participated in open threats before?  
editorials?)

✓ 2. We (Prs, Congress, —) are promoting brief use of our war now

(and to our current use of our combined forces —

(dual-purpose forces))

and by

✓ 3. The motion, "all options — i.e. are options — are on the table"

[not ~~on~~ ~~on~~ for them!]

But NK, yes! . . .

(What if removes its actual options on the table?)

(and note — we've removed the conventional option from our negotiations with NK! (or Pak))

The contrast, note of all, further <sup>(China)</sup> promotes brief:

(Does remove first its own "weapons" — for anything but what to one attack? Pak?  
China? (Even UK? (see was listed by

o Colossal, for current ;  
these all apply to a new exps program,  
plus ...

✓ What Cong. is currently putting on the Table —  
~~what~~ what the Adm wants — is a presidentially  
initiated formulation or another one.

✓ That is the most "effective" way that,  
when the Pres is (as usual, but not necessarily)  
more likely to carry it out  
(although, doesn't it) the Congress

✓ esp. when the Pres. can use the "weaken  
them" — which is more, as never before.

✓ (Can it be that Bush and his aids  
are not aware of the power, the  
bargaining value, of the unjustified,  
unjust — and well-founded — usage of his  
(+ Ching): "the bad cop or the worse  
cop.")

✓ Cong. cannot go on step further in  
strengthening Bush's bargaining power: they  
cannot do what they did in Oct 02  
[and even more — e.g. in <sup>Parties out, FISA, torture?</sup> McCain Act.] —  
not for some explicit reasons (which  
McCain is still refusing):

✓ Delegate this power to the Pres explicitly  
(against the Court) open-ended in time and scope

3-3-7

✓ Why do what they are doing, verbally not not do that — "for both bargaining" ?!

✓ If that's too dangerous and irresponsible, in the eyes of the constituents + on allies

(another TGR)

○ (Can) UK government do what they can do — the opposite?

What coalition partners would we have this time?

○ (They are "new Europe"?)

Which not? as new ones?

Syria?

Turkey? (Will Saudi? Egypt? Arab states, Qatar, Kuwait, Bahrain vs. Syria? What response will there be? If not?)

(Or maybe, on the other hand, NATO is complicit, already)

Will this help promote democracy in states and us? or with greater repression, or civil strife?

A Pak / Taliban regime, one - and?

"Delicate balance": Spain? Pak? What do we do then?

Effort as an danger?

A we signs my "tilt" to AQ!

What of Saudi? (not one - yet!)

Syria in SA, next to Iraq?

Do we want Saudi to get Bomb — after we attack

Iran? Egypt? Altaf Hussain to Israel THEN?

3-3-7

(not in Christian file)

O

Cheng: He <sup>we</sup> would understand if Somalia went ahead?

6

(Does this fit with SH report?)

Why does he oppose this? We get arms without bombs?

Why not a TS incident: a normal Somali attack? moth Oring? PROVOKE them...

Or, what by von Krueger (our) aerospace?

Can they report on the arms?

O

[If the guns (or a attack in frag (of US)) is blamed on them -

South went "and" say admitted,  
em with a Bill.]

[to PDS - How can he - as other 9-11 -  
even doubt Africa will not ability to  
run another "false flag" op (or,  
catalytic war) with/as arms,  
and get away with it? (and expect to).]

(esp. after Iran rat -

not expected, or expected,  
with any attack - say more  
than VN.

except SU  
Central - II,  
Burm

This is first target that can  
do that - see other cases and  
interventions: VN, Korea, Cambodia, Laos,  
Guinea, [Liberia did?] Panama, (Liberia did,  
now even  
in Lib. - and  
dominated right])

✓ <sup>fun</sup> Propose: to avoid Pw-initiated attack — conducted or as — from 3rd table

0 (and for as as attack on any non-nuc country NSA

(BW? CW? :)

0 (Where is Russia on this? NSASM  
at NWS?  
not formal: ]

for, the weakens the Monroe Tant

✓ (it didn't remove it — even without  
open option  
— as signing statement? (not, veto!)

✓ by Pw; TG

"We do it!"

But the reasons for doing it are:

✓ 1) The Pw + USP are hostile,

the judgment should not be trusted

✓ as more than the assertions.

✓ 2) There is strong evidence of testing  
that — as in 2002 — B+C do not veto,  
except as want a negotiated solution,  
but (an attack at any charge —  
and believe that mainly — that an attack  
will give to a favorable any charge

3) Pressure is not (?) likely to work as plan.

✓ ~~Now~~ They are not bluffing with the threats —  
it is the "dissidence" that is a bluff.

(Not me, for Reel, or Gates; but see Powell.  
(David & Reyes!)

Cong. should not vote a new delegation:

It should never be Congressionally - pre-delegated, preventively - inhibited even "from the tables."

(Hypothetically, it can wait on a Congressionally - inhibited threat on the tables

(NUC? NO!)

\* would say not - because of effect now on probif and use of others (and the on "members", not Powell)

But it would have to never threaten to use it - which it would still have.

See references of statement of Perry (friend of Kinsley) et al —

unless if there is an attack;  
it isn't unless if the support cuts threats

(as each of th has done in past: Perry / Libya ...)

3-3-07

Continue.

There is a world opinion / view on this —  
which we are violating.

Press The convergence of war weapons, peaceful  
scars.  
(NK, Iraq, Iran

surprise: Broadway/Pok Tsets

Iraq 90-91 (clean then brown)

NK track

SU streaks

and terrorism (in US) (delayed)

and fly down democracy

(bound to come: with HAG/N others  
and USC policies

Mon. 8-3-07

Our resolution to <sup>Send to</sup> SA and to Park  
is bill (at our request)

SA + Park resolution to AG

(9, to clients in the securities that  
we furnish to AG):

We support Aguirre that includes clients  
who support AG clients.

SA Aguirre does the (PDS) the very business  
support Mayfield in Chicago as "protection money".  
~~to~~ (in addition to threats?? or) to keep scrutiny  
directed at others (us!)

We support SA Aguirre to keep the threats  
permanently with us? to keep these same  
clients from mounting the real threats  
better than they attack "us" -  
us, instead:

independent of USG/comps.

However, we support Park Aguirre but it  
be independent of the clients that (hate us and)  
support AG clients

Neuha.

8-3-21

Our motion to <sup>Send to</sup> SA ad to Park  
is bill (at our expense)

SA + Park motion to AG

WMA

(or, to clients in thin societies that  
are friendly to AG):

We support urging that we tell clients  
who support AG directly.

SA again does the (POS) the very business  
support Mayor in Chicago: as "protection money"  
to (in response to threats? or) to keep hostility  
directed at others (us!)

We support SA urging to keep the threats  
permanently with us? to keep these same  
clients from mounting the ad trading  
letter that try attack "us" -  
us, instead:  
independently of USG/combo.

However, we support Park urging that it  
be independent of the clients that (hate us and)  
support AG directly

3-4-7

△

Ment Help  
Lagisator

sign-on letter

Another answered he

2 weeks ago

stopped talking

Open Society Policy Center  
Defense against

Meet-up bill

presented on H

I

Knife Amnesty

Michael Armitage 7 PM Sunday 3-4-7

Keeping Sun provision as a bargaining chip - say, "he is considering...."

Pelosi would support Sun provision if it was in the bill...

No one would confirm

no one will say it will stay in the bill...

one-line

Murtha + Pelosi's considering Nuttall is  
Feb 14, AP story

Daniel Obey a committee

Tuesday

OSP (501(c)4)

gathering Tuesday

Ans Control Cmt e-mail list  
Dear Coalition

Mike Sheedy <sup>ass't to?</sup> - has been coy - don't  
count on its not

March 8th - work-up Thurs.

Senate 2 weeks later

Name: limit amount

Webb, Peleg, ELC, Byrd

Klaus

What would consequences be for US soc and "elite" if USG let go of teams in Iraq, and then did not mess, or was indeed not to, but no attack or major change...

External notional:

How? J

US stopped effort to control oil? entire

What US elites are prepared to accept this?  
Public?

But - what benefits does Bush/McCain get from  
(new) attack on Iran?

They are one "simple" back to back: <sup>Sands, Pak</sup> Iran -  
nobody AL Qaeda! - us, Syria, as in  
1980 (contra us. SO is off, Iraq as. Iran...  
with AQ  
1990

Stop Iran attack: long time for move to Abolition  
(see HAK group) as answer to proxy  
and for creating a republic.

"To the Republic." (soverign republic?)

Notes ed, Feb 5 (Schell?)

(needs better analysis of VN/N - Iraq)

Investigate, research, cut funds  
(not cut of troops!)

Fahedi

3-10-7

From "Sunlit"  
3-10-7

McCain: "Only thing worse than war with  
Iran [or a "cap"] is a war-and-peace.  
↓

~~McCain~~ Hilly agrees!

No problem will remain after Bush

Tsely

4 M/day - seems 2 M/day.

Pop. has doubled in past 27 years;

seems, Iran will have no oil for export.

Same to prove we energy.

Strat. interests: are neighbors

friendly enemies of US (Iraq, Afghan, former SU  
Caspian region)

(Is it the "Persian" Gulf or "Armenian Gulf"  
— or Armenian lake?)

US open air of regime change

Perle: not now want to go to Tehran.

They are interested in building infrastructure  
to have a cap

a detent — (but this means war, not "cap")

Building cities.

"want to be able to prompt a prompt  
attack" (oh, oh; dangerous.)

If now: what happened to SH, what did not  
happen to Kim Jong-il.

(but Khamenei — fatwa?)

Al Aksa Rock — when Mohamed assassinated to death

(busted? arrested?)

I Iran has any legal right for enrichment —  
but is it wise to press for this now, even  
under IAEA inspection

(A offhand shot — Beger, Mutharob, CAIEI, Boradani

Iran is now more assertive than Saudis (Egypt? Jordan?  
kill drivers, good if attacked  
Kuwait? Syria?  
Iranian mssns Abusing and  
kill it (?)  
are not anti-US —  
any arms in ME  
Abdullah? (Iraq?)

Ch 7 may wish  
to interview  
you at the  
break.

4-5-7

Can a president be prevented from  
lyings us into a disastrous, aggressive,  
unconstitutional war (Iran)

that AIPAC wants? (media  
Congress  
donors)

Could he be impeached for it?

(or, for a set of foreign policy?  
see Korea, Vietnam, Iraq)

Would he "lose Congress"? Fighting?

Would he lose support of  
Dems?

Dem leaders?

Dem conservatives?

NYT? WP? Networks?

(For use of war, after Iran response?)

Draft?

(Would 22d amendment apply in 2008?)

(see Chang)

(Hypothetical attorney general, by  
(after big attorney))

Did that mean Hg. can evry?

— Can war/Congress/conservatives end/withdraw  
altogether from a war that if AIPAC  
didn't want us to?

[us. MHT/Hillary: We want aim to achieve the goals  
without US occupation, (cessation/agreements/ON...)]

Potential

Goals  
Known

4-5-7

to attack a few words by

Israel/  
AIPAC?

(NHK "don't  
know"; they want  
pro. threat

Turky? (Does the lobby  
back up with AIPAC?)

Sunnis? (Sandis...)

Christian Zionists?  
Evangelicals?

(Can Christians take on Republicans?!!)

oil corps? (No - Phyllis Bennis)

USAID? Navy? (Pore?)

Can AIPAC be censored?

By funs?

(I'm an American

citizen)

In other background,

Russia friend, not  
fear of it.

anti-Semitic? about

anti-AIPAC, yes

Curious: want anti

Iran attack;

stop using war (J Clin. signs)

use of war other than

not just Israel;

for Type I Det...

Pole, Israel, (from: N)

(not, "Security")

not true this "patriotism"

stop favoring

"good policy"

oppose all policy."

(not, "Security")

see TR: Merrill Wallace's letters